

HENDRICKS & LEWIS

999 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 2675
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104

telephone (206) 624-1933
facsimile (206) 583-2716
www.hllaw.com

March 7, 2001

Laurel H. Siddoway, Esq.
Randall & Danskin, P.S.
1500 Seafirst Financial Center
West 601 Riverside
Spokane, Washington 99201

Re: *City of Spokane v. Walker Parking Consultants/Engineers, Inc., et al.*
Cause No. 00-2-04173-4

Dear Laurie:

I continue to think that claim I of the Second Amended Complaint would be strengthened by an alternative claim under the Public Open Meetings Act. In Spokane you said you would "think about" that possibility. Since that claim wasn't included, you obviously rejected it. Nevertheless, in thinking about the issue this weekend—time for which the City won't be charged—it again occurred to me that an Open Meetings Act claim is fully consistent with and at least as strong as the "plain language" approach the City is making. Consequently, it seems to me that your oral argument should not preclude a Public Open Meetings Act claim.

Please call if you have questions about this point or any other.

Sincerely,

HENDRICKS & LEWIS



O. Yale Lewis, Jr.

Sent by facsimile